IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

RICKKE LEON GREEN,)
Petitioner,)
vs.) Case No. CIV-06-61-L
JOHN WHETSEL, Sheriff,)
Respondent.) }

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Petitioner, a prisoner appearing pro se, has filed this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, seeking a writ of habeas corpus. United States District Judge Tim Leonard has referred the matter to the undersigned Magistrate Judge for initial proceedings consistent with 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(B). In accordance with that referral, the undersigned entered an order on January 20, 2006, noting that the Court had received the petition for writ of habeas corpus, but that the Petitioner had neither paid the \$5.00 filing fee nor submitted an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Accordingly, the Court directed him to either pay the required filing fee or submit a completed *in forma pauperis* application on or before February 9, 2006. On February 15, 2006, the undersigned *sua sponte* extended Petitioner's deadline until March 6, 2006, to either pay the required filing fee or to submit his application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The Petitioner was also informed that failure to comply with the Order by the date set forth above could result in dismissal of the action without prejudice to refiling and without further notice. See Local Civil Rule 3.3; 3.5.

As of this date, the Petitioner has neither complied with the Order, sought an

extension of time in which to do so, nor has he attempted to show cause for his failure.

Therefore, the undersigned recommends that the petition for a writ of habeas corpus be

dismissed without prejudice to refiling.

RECOMMENDATION

Accordingly, the undersigned recommends that the petition for a writ of habeas

corpus be dismissed without prejudice to refiling due to Petitioner's failure to either pay

the required filing fee or to submit a completed in forma pauperis application. The

Petitioner is advised of his right to file an objection to this Report and Recommendation

with the Clerk of this Court by April 10, 2006, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §636 and

Local Civil Rule 72.1. The Petitioner is further advised that failure to make timely

objection to this Report and Recommendation waives his right to appellate review of both

factual and legal questions. Moore v. United States, 950 F.2d 656 (10th Cir. 1991). This

Report and Recommendation disposes of all issues referred to the undersigned Magistrate

Judge in the captioned matter.

ENTERED this 20th day of March, 2006.

OOYLE W. ARGO

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2